

The extensibility of the Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$

Adžaga, Nikola; Filipin, Alan; Jursić, Ana

Source / Izvornik: **Analele Universității "Ovidius" Constanța Seria Matematică, 2021, 29(2), 5 - 24**

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:237:455104>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#)/[Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-03-19**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Civil Engineering,
University of Zagreb](#)





The extensibility of the Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$

Nikola Adžaga, Alan Filipin and Ana Jursić

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to consider the extensibility of the Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$, where $2 < b < c$, and to prove that such a set cannot be extended to an irregular Diophantine quadruple. We succeed in that for some families of c 's (depending on b). As corollary, for example, we prove that for $b/2 - 1$ prime, all Diophantine quadruples $\{2, b, c, d\}$ with $2 < b < c < d$ are regular.

1 INTRODUCTION

A set consisting of m positive integers such that the product of any two of its distinct elements increased by 1 is a perfect square is called a Diophantine m -tuple. There is long history of finding such sets. One of the questions of interest, which various mathematicians try to solve, is how large those sets can be. Very recently He, Togbé and Ziegler [12] proved the folklore conjecture that there cannot be 5 elements in Diophantine m -tuple, i.e. $m < 5$. However, there is also a stronger version of that conjecture that is still open, which states that every Diophantine triple can be extended to a quadruple with a larger element in a unique way (see [7]):

Conjecture 1. *If $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is a Diophantine quadruple of integers and $d > \max\{a, b, c\}$, then $d = d_+ = a + b + c + 2(abc + \sqrt{(ab + 1)(ac + 1)(bc + 1)})$.*

Key Words: Diophantine tuples, system of Pellian equations, linear forms in logarithms.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11D09; Secondary 11D45, 11B37, 11J86.
Received: 14.10.2020
Accepted: 15.11.2020

There are a lot of results supporting this conjecture. The history of the problem, with recent results and up-to-date references can be found on the webpage [6].

We have the following definitions (see [10]). Let $\{a, b, c\}$ be a Diophantine triple such that

$$ab + 1 = r^2, \quad ac + 1 = s^2, \quad bc + 1 = t^2, \quad (1)$$

where $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1. A Diophantine triple $\{a, b, c\}$ is called regular if

$$(c - b - a)^2 = 4(ab + 1). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) is obviously symmetric under permutations of a, b, c . From (2), by (1), we get

$$c = c^\pm = a + b \pm 2r,$$

$$ac^\pm + 1 = (a \pm r)^2, \quad bc^\pm + 1 = (b \pm r)^2.$$

Definition 2. A Diophantine quadruple $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is called regular if

$$(d + c - a - b)^2 = 4(ab + 1)(cd + 1) \quad (3)$$

or, equivalently, if

$$d = d_\pm = a + b + c + 2(abc \pm rst). \quad (4)$$

By (1) and (4), we have

$$ad_\pm + 1 = (at \pm rs)^2, \quad bd_\pm + 1 = (bs \pm rt)^2, \quad cd_\pm + 1 = (cr \pm st)^2. \quad (5)$$

Equation (3) is symmetric under permutations of a, b, c, d . An irregular Diophantine quadruple is one that is not regular. It is known from [2] that every Diophantine pair $\{a, b\}$ can be extended to a regular Diophantine quadruple:

$$\{a, b, a + b \pm 2r, 4r(a \pm r)(b \pm r)\}.$$

During the second conference on Diophantine m -tuples and related problems, that took place at Purdue University Northwest, we mentioned the following result [1, Theorem 4]. If $\{2, b, c, d\}$ is a regular Diophantine quadruple, then the Diophantine triple $\{b, c, d\}$ is also a $D(n)$ -set for two distinct n 's with $n \neq 1$ (which means that $bc + n$, $bd + n$ and $cd + n$ are perfect squares). We

have realized that this result would be even more elegant if we could drop the word “regular”. On the other hand, in [11], Conjecture 1 was proven when $a = 1$, so it makes sense to see if the method they used can prove this conjecture for different values of a before attempting to generalize it, because that would probably be very difficult.

For $a = 2$, by (1), we have

$$b = 2k(k + 1), \quad r = 2k + 1, \quad (6)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $b = 4(1 + \dots + k)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can notice that b is always even. We take that $b > 4000$ because otherwise the triple $\{2, b, c\}$, with $2 < b < c$, cannot be extended to an irregular quadruple by [3, Lemma 3.4]. We will use the condition $b > 4000$ through the paper. Since $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 = \frac{1}{6}(2k+1)k(k+1)$, it is interesting to observe that $\frac{br}{12} = P_k$, where P_k is a square pyramidal number for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The problem of extending a Diophantine pair $\{2, b\}$ to a Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$ can be reduced to solving the Pellian equation

$$2t^2 - bs^2 = 2 - b, \quad (7)$$

and then taking $c = \frac{s^2-1}{2}$. We will describe the set of solutions of the equation (7), by following the arguments of Nagell [14] and Dujella [5]. Equation (7) is equivalent to the Pellian equation

$$t^2 - \frac{b}{2}s^2 = 1 - \frac{b}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Such an equation has infinitely many solutions divided into classes* of solutions. Among all elements of one class, we choose $t_0 + s_0\sqrt{b/2}$, where s_0 has the lowest nonnegative value among all elements $t + s\sqrt{b/2}$ of the same class. Such a solution is called a fundamental solution of the equation (8). Notice also that $|t_0|$ has the lowest possible value in the class. By the arguments of [14, Theorem 108 a], equation (8) has finitely many fundamental solutions. Hence, it has finitely many classes of solutions. There are at most $2^{\omega(1-b/2)}$ classes of solutions with $\gcd(t, s) = 1$, where $\omega(1-b/2)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of $1-b/2$. Although we will focus on the situation when there is only one class of solutions, with fundamental solutions $(t_0, s_0) = (\pm 1, 1)$, let us mention here that this is not always the case. If k is of the form $k = g^2 - 2$, then (8) becomes $t^2 - (g^4 - 3g^2 + 2)s^2 = -g^4 + 3g^2 - 1$ and this equation has fundamental solution $(g^3 - g^2 - 2g + 1, g - 1)$, which differs from $(\pm 1, 1)$ when $g \neq 2$.

*Two solutions $t + s\sqrt{b/2}$ and $t' + s'\sqrt{b/2}$ of the equation (8) belong to the same class if and only if $tt' \equiv (b/2)ss' \pmod{(1-b/2)}$ and $ts' \equiv t's \pmod{(1-b/2)}$.

All elements of one class of solutions of the equation (8) can be obtained from a fundamental solution by multiplication with a power of the minimal solution in positive integers for the associated Pellian equation $t^2 - \frac{b}{2}s^2 = 1$. Therefore, all positive solutions (t, s) to equation (7) which belong to the same class are given with (see [5, Lemma 1])

$$t\sqrt{2} + s\sqrt{b} = (t_0\sqrt{2} + s_0\sqrt{b})(r + \sqrt{2b})^\nu, \quad (9)$$

where (t_0, s_0) is a fundamental solution to the equation (7) and $\nu \geq 0$. Since we have fundamental solutions $(t_0, s_0) = (\pm 1, 1)$, then if those are only fundamental solutions (for example if $b/2 - 1$ is a prime, but this is not the only case), all positive solutions to the equation (7) are given with

$$(t, s) = (t_\nu^\pm, s_\nu^\pm),$$

obtained by the recurrent relations for two different signs ± 1 :

$$t_0 = \pm 1, \quad t_1 = b \pm r, \quad t_{\nu+2} = 2rt_{\nu+1} - t_\nu, \quad (10)$$

$$s_0 = 1, \quad s_1 = r \pm 2, \quad s_{\nu+2} = 2rs_{\nu+1} - s_\nu, \quad (11)$$

for $\nu \geq 0$. Since for $\nu = 0$ we obtain $c = 0$, we have to consider the sequence $c = c_\nu^\pm$, with $2c_\nu^\pm + 1 = (s_\nu^\pm)^2$, $bc_\nu^\pm + 1 = (t_\nu^\pm)^2$, for $\nu \geq 1$. By [4, Theorem 1.4. (4)], we need to consider only $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$ i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^\pm &= 2 + b \pm 2r, \\ c_2^\pm &= 8b(2 + b \pm 2r) + 4(2 + b \pm r) = 4r(r \pm 2)(b \pm r), \\ c_3^\pm &= 64b^2(2 + b \pm 2r) + 16b(6 + 3b \pm 4r) + 3(6 + 3b \pm 2r). \end{aligned}$$

We observe the extensibility of the triple $\{2, b, c_\nu^\pm\}$, where $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$. Since $bc_1^- + 1 = (b - r)^2 < b^2$, it follows that $c_1^- < b$. In all other cases $b < c_\nu^\pm$. Our main result in this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *The triple $\{2, b, c_\nu^\pm\}$, for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, cannot be extended to an irregular Diophantine quadruple $\{2, b, c_\nu^\pm, d\}$, where $d > c_\nu^\pm$.*

Theorem 1 allows us to derive the following statement from the previous observations.

Corollary 1. *If $\frac{b}{2} - 1$ is a prime, then every Diophantine quadruple $\{2, b, c, d\}$, with $2 < b < c < d$, is regular.*

In order to prove Theorem 1, we use methods described in [11] by He, Pintér, Togbé and Yang. In Section 2 we transform the problem of extending a Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$ to a Diophantine quadruple $\{2, b, c, d\}$ into

solving a system of simultaneous Pellian equations, which furthermore transforms to finding intersections of binary recurrent sequences. In the next two sections we finish our proofs using the standard methods, i.e. linear forms in three, respectively two, logarithms of algebraic numbers and Baker-Davenport reduction method.

2 The system of simultaneous Pellian equations

When trying to extend a Diophantine triple $\{2, b, c\}$ to a quadruple $\{2, b, c, d\}$ we have to find $d, x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$2d + 1 = x^2, \quad bd + 1 = y^2, \quad cd + 1 = z^2. \quad (12)$$

Elimination of d from (12) leads to the system of simultaneous Pellian equations

$$2z^2 - cx^2 = 2 - c, \quad (13)$$

$$bz^2 - cy^2 = b - c, \quad (14)$$

$$2y^2 - bx^2 = 2 - b. \quad (15)$$

Each of equations (13), (14) and (15) has finitely many fundamental solutions (z_0, x_0) , (z_1, y_1) and (y_2, x_2) , respectively. From these solutions, all solutions (z, x) , (z, y) and (y, x) of (13), (14) and (15), respectively, are, by [5, Lemma 1], given with

$$z\sqrt{2} + x\sqrt{c} = (z_0\sqrt{2} + x_0\sqrt{c})(s + \sqrt{2c})^m, \quad (16)$$

$$z\sqrt{b} + y\sqrt{c} = (z_1\sqrt{b} + y_1\sqrt{c})(t + \sqrt{bc})^n, \quad (17)$$

$$y\sqrt{2} + x\sqrt{b} = (y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2\sqrt{b})(r + \sqrt{2b})^l, \quad (18)$$

for integers $m, n, l \geq 0$. In any solution (x, y, z) of the system (13) - (15), we have $z = v_m = w_n$, for some non-negative integers m and n , where the sequences $(v_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are obtained using (16) and (17) and given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= z_0, & v_1 &= sz_0 + cx_0, & v_{m+2} &= 2sv_{m+1} - v_m, \\ w_0 &= z_1, & w_1 &= tz_1 + cy_1, & w_{n+2} &= 2tw_{n+1} - w_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we are solving the equation

$$v_m = w_n \quad (19)$$

in $m, n \geq 0$. By [4, Theorem 2.1., Lemma 2.3.], it is enough to observe the cases:

- if $v_{2m} = w_{2n}$, then $z_0 = z_1 = \pm 1$ and $x_0 = y_1 = 1$,
- if $v_{2m+1} = w_{2n+1}$, then $z_0 = \pm t$, $z_1 = \pm s$, $x_0 = y_1 = r$ and $z_0 z_1 > 0$.

In any solution (x, y, z) of the system (13) - (15), we also have $y = W_n = q_l$, for some non-negative integers n and l , where the sequences $(W_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(q_l)_{l \geq 0}$ are obtained using (17) and (18) and given by

$$W_0 = y_1, \quad W_1 = ty_1 + bz_1, \quad W_{n+2} = 2tW_{n+1} - W_n, \quad (20)$$

$$q_0 = y_2, \quad q_1 = ry_2 + bx_2, \quad q_{l+2} = 2rq_{l+1} - q_l. \quad (21)$$

We finally have $x = V_m = p_l$, for some non-negative integers m and l , where the sequences $(V_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(p_l)_{l \geq 0}$ are obtained using (16) and (18) and given by

$$V_0 = x_0, \quad V_1 = sx_0 + 2z_0, \quad V_{m+2} = 2sV_{m+1} - V_m, \quad (22)$$

$$p_0 = x_2, \quad p_1 = rx_2 + 2y_2, \quad p_{l+2} = 2rp_{l+1} - p_l. \quad (23)$$

From (20) and (21), by induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{2n} &\equiv y_1 \pmod{b}, & W_{2n+1} &\equiv ty_1 \pmod{b}, \\ q_{2l} &\equiv y_2 \pmod{b}, & q_{2l+1} &\equiv ry_2 \pmod{b}. \end{aligned}$$

By [7, Lemma 1],

$$1 \leq |y_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{(r-1)(b-2)}{4}} < \frac{b}{2}.$$

Therefore, there hold:

- If $W_{2n} = q_{2l}$, then $1 \equiv y_2 \pmod{b}$ so $y_2 = 1$.
- If $W_{2n} = q_{2l+1}$, then $1 \equiv ry_2 \pmod{b}$ so $r \equiv r^2 y_2 \pmod{b}$. By (1), $y_2 \equiv r \pmod{b}$. Since, for $b > 4000$, $|y_2| < \frac{b}{2}$ and $r < \frac{b}{2}$, we get $y_2 = r$. In this case, from (15), we get $x_2^2 = 5$, which is not possible.
- If $W_{2n+1} = q_{2l}$, then $rt \equiv y_2 \pmod{b}$. From (10), we conclude that $t = t_v^{\pm} \equiv \pm 1$ or $\pm r \pmod{b}$, so $y_2 \equiv \pm 1$ or $\pm r \pmod{b}$. As in the previous case, we obtain a contradiction for $y_2 \equiv \pm r \pmod{b}$. From $y_2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{b}$, we conclude $y_2 = \pm 1$.
- If $W_{2n+1} = q_{2l+1}$, then $rt \equiv ry_2 \pmod{b}$. Since $\gcd(b, r) = 1$, it holds $t \equiv y_2 \pmod{b}$. Hence, $y_2 \equiv \pm 1$ or $\pm r \pmod{b}$, again. As previous, we have $y_2 = \pm 1$.

Therefore, fundamental solutions of the equation (15) are $(y_2, x_2) = (\pm 1, 1)$.

From the above, we obtain that the following two possibilities are the only types of fundamental solutions that can lead to extensions of the triple $\{2, b, c\}$ to a quadruple $\{2, b, c, d\}$:

Lemma 1. *For the equation $x = p_l = V_m$, where p_l and V_m are defined by (22) and (23), the following two possibilities exist:*

- a) if $l \equiv m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $z_0 = \pm 1$, $x_0 = y_2 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1$,
- b) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $z_0 = \pm t$, $x_0 = r$, $y_2 = \pm 1$ and $x_2 = 1$.

3 Linear form in three logarithms

Using techniques from [11], firstly we transform the equation $p_l = V_m$ into an equality for a linear forms in three logarithms of algebraic numbers. Let

$$\alpha = r + \sqrt{2b} \quad (24)$$

be the solution of Pell equation $t^2 - \frac{b}{2}s^2 = 1$, associated to the Pellian equation (8). Similarly, let

$$\beta = s + \sqrt{2c} \quad (25)$$

be the solution of Pell equation $t^2 - \frac{c}{2}r^2 = 1$, associated to the Pellian equation $t^2 - \frac{c}{2}r^2 = 1 - \frac{c}{2}$ obtained from (1). Let

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{c}(y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2\sqrt{b})}{\sqrt{b}(z_0\sqrt{2} + x_0\sqrt{c})}. \quad (26)$$

We follow the strategy used in [11] and define

$$\Lambda = l \log \alpha - m \log \beta + \log \gamma. \quad (27)$$

As in [11, Lemma 3], it can be proven that

$$0 < \Lambda < \beta^{-2m}, \quad (28)$$

for $m \geq 1$, which easily leads to $0 < \Lambda < \frac{1}{8c}$. From (28), we have

$$\log \Lambda < -2m \log \beta. \quad (29)$$

For the possibilities from Lemma 1, we denote

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for a),} \\ 1, & \text{for b) with } z_0 = t, \\ -1, & \text{for b) with } z_0 = -t. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

In this section we show an upper bound on $|(l - \lambda) \log \alpha - m \log \beta|$ and we also show that $\Delta = l - \lambda - \nu m \neq 0$. Then we use those results to get a lower bound on index m .

Lemma 2. *If $x = p_l = V_m$, where p_l and V_m are defined by (22) and (23), then*

$$|(l - \lambda) \log \alpha - m \log \beta| = |\Lambda - \lambda \log \alpha - \log \gamma| < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b}}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. We show this for each possible case in (30) separately.

a) If $\lambda = 0$, then

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{c}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{b})}{\sqrt{b}(\sqrt{c} \pm \sqrt{2})} = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{c} - \sqrt{2}}\right) > 1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \log \gamma &\leq \log \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b}}\right) + \log \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{c} - \sqrt{2}}\right) \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{c} - \sqrt{2}} < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{b}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (31).

b) If $\lambda = 1$ and $z_0 = t$, then $\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{c}(\sqrt{b} \pm \sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{b}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}$. In this case

$$\alpha^\lambda \gamma - 1 = \alpha\gamma - 1 = \frac{\pm\sqrt{2c}(r + \sqrt{2b}) - \frac{\sqrt{2b}}{t + \sqrt{bc}}}{\sqrt{b}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}.$$

Since $b > 4000$, we have

$$|\alpha\gamma - 1| < \frac{\sqrt{2c}(r + \sqrt{2b}) + 0.01}{\sqrt{b}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}$$

and since

$$\sqrt{2c}(r + \sqrt{2b}) - \sqrt{2}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c}) = 2\sqrt{bc} - 2t < 0,$$

it follows that

$$|\alpha\gamma - 1| < \frac{1.42}{\sqrt{b}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$|\log(\alpha\lambda)| = |\log(1 + (\alpha\lambda - 1))| < \frac{1.42}{\sqrt{b}},$$

so (31) holds.

c) If $\lambda = -1$ and $z_0 = -t$, then $\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{c}(\sqrt{b} \pm \sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{b}(-t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^\lambda \gamma - 1 &= \alpha^{-1} \gamma - 1 \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(t\sqrt{bc} - bc) + 2\sqrt{b}(r + \sqrt{2b}) \pm \sqrt{2c}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}{\sqrt{b}(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1), $t > \sqrt{bc}$, so we have

$$|\alpha^{-1} \gamma - 1| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b}(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)} + \frac{2}{c - 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2c}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}{\sqrt{b}(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)}.$$

Since $b > 4000$, from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{2c}(t\sqrt{2} + r\sqrt{c})}{(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)} - \sqrt{2} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}(r + \sqrt{2b}) + \frac{2\sqrt{c}}{t + \sqrt{bc}}}{(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)} \\ &< \frac{2\sqrt{2} + 0.01}{c - 2} < 0.01, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$|\alpha^{-1} \gamma - 1| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b}(r + \sqrt{2b})(c - 2)} + \frac{2}{c - 2} + \frac{1.42}{\sqrt{b}} < \frac{1.46}{\sqrt{b}}.$$

Finally,

$$|\log(\alpha^{-1} \lambda)| = |\log(1 + (\alpha^{-1} \lambda - 1))| < \frac{1.46}{\sqrt{b}},$$

so (31) holds. □

As in [11, Lemma 5], we prove that the index l is not a multiple of index m increased by λ , but here the situation is not completely analogue. We have to consider each possible value of ν separately and apply mathematical induction over m . To do that, we will need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3. *If $(q_m)_{m \geq 0}$ is a second order linear recurrence relation with kernel (A, B) , i. e.*

$$q_{m+2} = Aq_{m+1} + Bq_m,$$

then $(q_{2m+1})_{m \geq 0}$ is also a second order linear recurrence relation with kernel $(A^2 + 2B, -B^2)$, i. e.

$$q_{2m+1} = (A^2 + 2B)q_{2m-1} - B^2q_{2m-3}.$$

Proof. From the initial recurrence relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2m+1} &= A(Aq_{2m-1} + Bq_{2m-2}) + Bq_{2m-1} \\ &= A^2q_{2m-1} + B(q_{2m-1} - Bq_{2m-3}) + Bq_{2m-1} \\ &= (A^2 + 2B)q_{2m-1} - B^2q_{2m-3}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $p_l = V_m$, for some positive integers m and l , where p_l and V_m are defined by (22) and (23). If the Diophantine quadruple $\{2, b, c, \frac{x^2-1}{2}\}$, where $c = c_\nu^\pm$ for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $x = p_l = V_m$, is not regular, then*

$$\Delta = l - \lambda - \nu m \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let us define

$$\alpha^\nu = (r + \sqrt{2b})^\nu = T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}, \quad (32)$$

with

$$T_0 = 1, \quad T_1 = r, \quad T_{\nu+2} = 2rT_{\nu+1} - T_\nu, \quad (33)$$

$$U_0 = 0, \quad U_1 = 1, \quad U_{\nu+2} = 2rU_{\nu+1} - U_\nu, \quad (34)$$

for $\nu \geq 0$. Notice that the sequences $(T_\nu)_{\nu \geq 0}$ and $(U_\nu)_{\nu \geq 0}$ are positive and increasing. From (33) and (34), by induction, we get

$$T_{m\nu+2\nu} = 2T_\nu T_{m\nu+\nu} - T_{m\nu}$$

and

$$U_{m\nu+2\nu} = 2T_\nu U_{m\nu+\nu} - U_{m\nu}.$$

Hence, from (23),

$$p_{m\nu+2\nu} = 2T_\nu p_{m\nu+\nu} - p_{m\nu}.$$

Also, it is easy to show that $p_\nu = x_2 T_\nu + 2y_2 U_\nu$. By (9), (10), (33) and (34), we have

$$s = s_\nu^\pm = T_\nu \pm 2U_\nu, \quad (35)$$

for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We distinguish three cases, depending on the value of λ in (30).

- a)** If $\lambda = 0$, then $z_0 = \pm 1, x_0 = 1, y_2 = x_2 = 1$ so $p_\nu = T_\nu + 2U_\nu$. In (22) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= 1, \quad V_1 = s \pm 2, \quad V_{m+2} = 2sV_{m+1} - V_m, \\ p_0 &= 1, \quad p_1 = r + 2, \quad p_{l+2} = 2rp_{l+1} - p_l, \end{aligned}$$

for $m, l \geq 1$. If we prove that $V_m \neq p_{m\nu}$, then $l \neq m\nu$ so, in this case, $\Delta \neq 0$. More precisely, by induction over m we will prove that if $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is irregular, then $V_m \neq p_{m\nu}$. We distinguish two cases in (35). Notice that in this part of the proof we consider the case from Lemma 1 a), so $x = V_m$ is not even possible for odd values of m .

a1) Let $s = s_\nu^+ = T_\nu + 2U_\nu$.

Let $V_1 = s - 2$. For $m = 1$, we have $V_1 < p_\nu$. For $m = 2$ and $\nu = 1$, we have $x = V_2 = p_2 = 2s(s - 2) - 1$. In that case, we get a regular quadruple $\{a, b, c, d\}$, where $c = 2k^2 + 6k + 4$ and $d = d_+ = 4(3 + 20k + 42k^2 + 32k^3 + 8k^4)$. For $\nu > 1$,

$$V_2 = 2s(s - 2) - 1 > 2T_\nu p_\nu - p_0 = p_{2\nu}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\nu + 2U_\nu)(T_\nu + 2U_\nu - 2) > T_\nu(T_\nu + 2U_\nu) &\Leftrightarrow T_\nu + 2U_\nu - 2 > T_\nu \\ &\Leftrightarrow U_\nu > 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 3$ and for $\nu \geq 1$, we have

$$V_3 = 2sV_2 - (s - 2) > 2T_\nu p_{2\nu} - p_\nu = p_{3\nu},$$

because this is equivalent with

$$\begin{aligned} 2s(2s(s - 2) - 1) - T_\nu - 2U_\nu + 2 > 2T_\nu(2T_\nu s - 1) - T_\nu - 2U_\nu \\ \Leftrightarrow 2s(2s^2 - 4s) - 2(T_\nu + 2U_\nu) + 2 > 4T_\nu^2 s - 2T_\nu \\ \Leftrightarrow 2s(s^2 - 2s - T_\nu^2) - 2U_\nu + 1 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is true since $s^2 - 2s - T_\nu^2 > 1$.

If $V_1 = s + 2$, then $V_1 > p_\nu$ and $V_2 > p_{2\nu}$ for $\nu \geq 1$.

In both subcases $V_1 = s - 2$ and $V_1 = s + 2$ of the case **a1)** the step of induction is the same. We assume $p_{m\nu+\nu} < V_{m+1}$ which implies

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m\nu+2\nu} &= 2T_\nu p_{m\nu+\nu} - p_{m\nu} < 2T_\nu V_{m+1} + (4U_\nu V_{m+1} - V_m) \\ &= 2(T_\nu + 2U_\nu)V_{m+1} - V_m \\ &= 2sV_{m+1} - V_m \\ &= V_{m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proven $V_m \neq p_{m\nu}$ in the case **a1)**.

a2) Let $s = s_\nu^- = T_\nu - 2U_\nu$.

For $\nu = 1$, we have $s = s_1^- = r - 2$, which is not possible. For $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$, we have the following situation. For $m = 1$, we have

$$p_\nu = T_\nu + 2U_\nu > T_\nu - 2U_\nu \pm 2 = V_1,$$

which is equivalent to $U_\nu > \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

If $m = 2$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 < p_{2\nu} &\Leftrightarrow 2s^2 \pm 4s - 1 < 2T_\nu^2 + 4T_\nu U_\nu - 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2(T_\nu^2 - 4T_\nu U_\nu + 4U_\nu^2) \pm 4(T_\nu - 2U_\nu) - 1 < \\ &\quad < 2T_\nu^2 + 4T_\nu U_\nu - 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2U_\nu^2 \pm (T_\nu - 2U_\nu) < 3T_\nu U_\nu, \end{aligned}$$

which is true because $T_\nu > 2U_\nu$.

Before doing step of induction, let us notice that $2T_\nu - 1 > 2s$ and that $(p_{m\nu})_{m \geq 0}$ is increasing sequence.

Assume now that $p_{m\nu+\nu} > V_{m+1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m\nu+2\nu} &= 2T_\nu p_{m\nu+\nu} - p_{m\nu} \\ &= (2T_\nu - 1)p_{m\nu+\nu} + p_{m\nu+\nu} - p_{m\nu} \\ &> 2sV_{m+1} \\ &> 2sV_{m+1} - V_m \\ &= V_{m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V_m \neq p_{m\nu}$ in the case **a2)**.

b) If $\lambda = 1$, then $z_0 = t, x_0 = r, x_2 = 1, y_2 = \pm 1$ so $p_\nu = T_\nu \pm 2U_\nu$. From (22) and (23), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= r, \quad V_1 = rs + 2t, \quad V_{m+2} = 2sV_{m+1} - V_m, \\ p_0 &= 1, \quad p_1 = r \pm 2, \quad p_{l+2} = 2rp_{l+1} - p_l, \end{aligned}$$

for $m, l \geq 1$. Notice that $(V_m)_{m \geq 0}$ and $(p_l)_{l \geq 0}$ are increasing sequences.

If we prove that $V_m \neq p_{m\nu+1}$, then $l \neq m\nu + 1$ so $\Delta \neq 0$. By induction over m we will prove that if $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is irregular, then $V_m \neq p_{m\nu+1}$. Notice that here we consider the case from Lemma 1 b), so $x = V_m$ is not possible at all for even values of m .

For $m = 1$, if $x = V_1 = p_{\nu+1}$ then, by (5), $d = d_+$. For $m \geq 2$, the idea is to express s and t as polynomials in variable r . Hence, we also have

V_m and p_l expressed as polynomials in variable r and we can compare them. For $\nu = 1$, $s = s_1^+ = r + 2$ and $t = t_1^+ = (r^2 + 2r - 1)/2$. We obtain $p_3 < V_2$ and $p_4 < V_3$. For $\nu = 2$, if $s = s_2^- = 2r^2 - 4r - 1$ and $t = t_2^- = r^3 - 2r^2 - r + 1$, it holds $p_5 > V_2$ and $p_7 > V_3$. Similarly, if $s = s_2^+ = 2r^2 + 4r - 1$, then $p_5 < V_2$ and $p_7 < V_3$. For $\nu = 3$, if $s = s_3^- = 4r^3 - 8r^2 - 3r + 2$ then $p_7 > V_2$ and $p_{10} > V_3$, while if $s = s_3^+ = 4r^3 + 8r^2 - 3r - 2$ then $p_7 < V_2$ and $p_{10} < V_3$. Therefore, for $m = 2$ and $m = 3$, we have $V_m \neq p_{\nu m+1}$.

The step of induction can be obtained for each possible value of ν separately, as follows. For $\nu = 1$, if $p_m < V_{m-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{m+1} &= 2rp_m - p_{m-1} < 2rV_{m-1} + 2V_{m-1} - V_{m-2} \\ &= 2sV_{m-1} - V_{m-2} \\ &= V_m. \end{aligned}$$

For $\nu = 2$, we will use Lemma 3.

Let $s = s_2^-$. If $p_{2m-1} > V_{m-1}$ then, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2m+1} &= (4r^2 - 2)p_{2m-1} - p_{2m-3} > (4r^2 - 3)p_{2m-1} \\ &> (4r^2 - 3)V_{m-1} \\ &> \frac{4r^2 - 3}{2s}V_m \\ &= \frac{4r^2 - 3}{4r^2 - 8r - 2}V_m > V_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = s_2^+$. If $p_{2m-1} < V_{m-1}$ then, by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2m+1} &= (4r^2 - 2)p_{2m-1} - p_{2m-3} < (4r^2 - 2)p_{2m-1} \\ &< (4r^2 - 2)V_{m-1} \\ &< \frac{4r^2 - 2}{2s - 1}V_m \\ &= \frac{4r^2 - 2}{4r^2 + 8r - 3}V_m < V_m. \end{aligned}$$

For $\nu = 3$, we firstly get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{3m+1} &= 2rp_{3m} - p_{3m-1} \\
&= 2r(2rp_{3m-1} - p_{3m-2}) - p_{3m-1} \\
&= (4r^2 - 1)p_{3m-1} - 2rp_{3m-2} \\
&= (4r^2 - 1)(2rp_{3m-2} - p_{3m-3}) - 2rp_{3m-2} \\
&= (8r^3 - 4r)p_{3m-2} - (4r^2 - 1)p_{3m-3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(8r^3 - 4r^2 - 4r + 1)p_{3m-2} < p_{3m+1} < (8r^3 - 4r)p_{3m-2}. \quad (36)$$

Let $s = s_3^-$. If $p_{3m-2} > V_{m-1}$ then, by (36),

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{3m+1} &> (8r^3 - 4r^2 - 4r + 1)p_{3m-2} > (8r^3 - 4r^2 - 4r + 1)V_{m-1} \\
&> \frac{8r^3 - 4r^2 - 4r + 1}{2s} V_m \\
&= \frac{8r^3 - 4r^2 - 4r + 1}{8r^3 - 16r^2 - 6r + 4} V_m > V_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $s = s_3^+$. If $p_{3m-2} < V_{m-1}$ then, by (36),

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{3m+1} &< (8r^3 - 4r)p_{3m-2} < (8r^3 - 4r)V_{m-1} \\
&< \frac{8r^3 - 4r}{2s - 1} V_m \\
&= \frac{8r^3 - 4r}{8r^3 + 16r^2 - 6r - 3} V_m < V_m.
\end{aligned}$$

c) We omit the proof as it is very similar to the proof of case **b)**.

□

Remark 1. If $l = 0$ or $m = 0$ in equation $x = p_l = V_m$, then $d = 0$ by (12), (22), (23) and Lemma 1. If $m = 1$, then we can obtain a regular quadruple $\{2, b, c, \frac{x^2-1}{2}\}$, as it is explained in parts **b)** and **c)** of the Lemma 4. Since in the part **a)** the case $x = V_1$ is not possible, for $m = 1$ the quadruple $\{2, b, c, \frac{x^2-1}{2}\}$ is regular, if it exists. If $m = 2$, then we can also obtain a regular quadruple $\{2, b, c, \frac{x^2-1}{2}\}$, as it is explained in the part **a1)** of the Lemmma 4. By Fujita [9, Lemma 8], for $m = 2$ we can't have an irregular Diophantine quadruple.

Now we want to find the lower bound for m in a solution (l, m) of the equation $p_l = V_m$.

Lemma 5. *If the equation $p_l = V_m$, where p_l and V_m are defined by (22) and (23), has a solution (l, m) with $m \geq 1$ then, for $b > 4000$, we have*

$$m > 0.69|\Delta|\sqrt{b}\log \alpha. \quad (37)$$

Proof. From (31), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{l - \lambda}{m} - \frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha} \right| < \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{m\sqrt{b}\log \alpha}.$$

Using that and (32), we further obtain

$$\frac{|\Delta|}{m} < \left| \frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha} - \nu \right| + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{m\sqrt{b}\log \alpha}. \quad (38)$$

Also, there holds

$$\left| \frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha} - \nu \right| = \left| \frac{\log(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^\nu})}{\log \alpha} \right| = \left| \frac{\log(1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha^\nu}{\alpha^\nu})}{\log \alpha} \right|. \quad (39)$$

Using (25), (32) and (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\beta - \alpha^\nu}{\alpha^\nu} \right| &= \left| \frac{s + \sqrt{2c} - (r + \sqrt{2b})^\nu}{(r + \sqrt{2b})^\nu} \right| = \left| \frac{2s - \frac{1}{s + \sqrt{2c}} - \left(2T_\nu - \frac{1}{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}\right)}{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\pm 4U_\nu - \frac{1}{s + \sqrt{2c}} + \frac{1}{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}}{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}} \right| < \frac{4U_\nu + 0.01}{2U_\nu\sqrt{2b}} < \frac{1.42}{\sqrt{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left| \log \left(1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha^\nu}{\alpha^\nu} \right) \right| < 1.01 \left| \frac{\beta - \alpha^\nu}{\alpha^\nu} \right| < \frac{1.44}{\sqrt{b}}. \quad (40)$$

From (38), (39) and (40),

$$\frac{|\Delta|}{m} < \frac{1.44}{\sqrt{b}\log \alpha} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{m\sqrt{b}\log \alpha} = \frac{1.44 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{m}}{\sqrt{b}\log \alpha}$$

and then

$$1.44m + 2\sqrt{2} > |\Delta|\sqrt{b}\log \alpha.$$

Therefore, (37) holds. \square

4 Linear forms in two logarithms and the proof of Theorem 1

As in [11], we apply Laurent's results (see [13]) on linear forms in two logarithms. We obtain an upper bound on m , which will contradict lower bound from Lemma 5 unless $k < 10^6$ roughly. Then we finish the proof of our main result using the well known Baker-Davenport reduction method.

We can rewrite (27) into

$$\Lambda = \log(\alpha^{\Delta+\lambda}\gamma) - m \log\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha^\nu}\right) = m \log\left(\frac{\alpha^\nu}{\beta}\right) - \log(\alpha^{-\Delta-\lambda}\gamma^{-1}), \quad (41)$$

where α, β, γ and α^ν are given with (24), (25), (26) and (32), respectively. Let $\alpha_1 := \frac{\alpha^\nu}{\beta}$ and $\alpha_2 := \alpha^{\Delta+\lambda}\gamma$. Then, α_1 is a zero of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(X - \frac{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}{s + \sqrt{2c}}\right) \left(X - \frac{T_\nu - U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}{s + \sqrt{2c}}\right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(X - \frac{T_\nu + U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}{s - \sqrt{2c}}\right) \left(X - \frac{T_\nu - U_\nu\sqrt{2b}}{s - \sqrt{2c}}\right) \\ & = X^4 - 4sT_\nu X^3 + (4T_\nu^2 + 8c + 1)X^2 - 4sT_\nu X + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is its minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Z} , or minimal polynomial divides it.

For any non-zero algebraic number α of degree d over \mathbb{Q} , with minimal polynomial $a_0 \prod_{j=1}^d (X - \alpha^{(j)})$, the absolute logarithmic height of α is defined with

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log |a_0| + \sum_{j=1}^d \log \max\{1, |\alpha^{(j)}|\} \right),$$

where $\alpha^{(j)}$ are the conjugates of α in \mathbb{C} . Here we have the linear form (41) in two algebraic numbers α_1 and α_2 over \mathbb{Q} . Since at most two conjugates of α_1 are greater than 1, depending on whether $\alpha^\nu > \beta$ or $\alpha^\nu < \beta$, as in [11], we have

$$h(\alpha_1) \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \log \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad h(\alpha_1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \beta.$$

It holds

$$h(\alpha^{\Delta+\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2} |\Delta + \lambda| \log \alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma) &= h\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}(y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2\sqrt{b})}{\sqrt{b}(z_0\sqrt{2} + x_0\sqrt{c})}\right) \leq h\left(\frac{y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b}}\right) + h\left(\frac{z_0\sqrt{2} + x_0\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{c}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\log(b + \sqrt{2b}) + \frac{1}{2}\log(rc + t\sqrt{2c}) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\log(4rbc) < \frac{3}{2}\log\alpha + \log\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$h(\alpha_2) = h(\alpha^{\Delta+\lambda}\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3)\log\alpha + \log\beta.$$

By (40), $\frac{|\alpha^\nu - \beta|}{\alpha^\nu} < \frac{1.426}{\sqrt{b}}$. Assuming $k \geq 1000$, we have

$$|\log\alpha^\nu - \beta| < 0.01.$$

We use the notation as in [11, Lemma 8] and get

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \frac{\nu}{2}\log\alpha + 0.01 > h(\alpha_1), \\ h_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3 + 2\nu)\log\alpha + 0.01 > h(\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Further, since by (41) $b_1 = m$, $b_2 = 1$ and $D = 4$, we have

$$\frac{|b_2|}{Dh_1} = \frac{1}{2\nu\log\alpha + 0.04} < 0.07.$$

Let us define

$$b' = \frac{m}{2(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3 + 2\nu)\log\alpha + 0.04} + 0.07. \quad (42)$$

If $\log b' + 0.38 \leq \frac{30}{D} = 7.5$, then

$$b' \leq 1236.$$

Else, by [11, Lemma 8],

$$\log|\Lambda| \geq -17.9 \cdot 4^4(\log b' + 0.38)^2 h_1 h_2.$$

Also, by (29),

$$m\log\beta < 17.9 \cdot 128(\log b' + 0.38)^2 h_1 h_2.$$

Since $\log \beta > \log \alpha^\nu - 0.01 > 2h_1 - 0.03$, we have

$$m < 1.01 \cdot 17.9 \cdot 64(\log b' + 0.38)^2 h_2$$

and then

$$b' - 0.07 = \frac{m}{4h_2} < 289.264(\log b' + 0.38)^2,$$

which yields $b' \leq 33789$.

Finally, from (42), we obtain the following statement.

Lemma 6. *If for the triple $\{2, b, c_\nu^\pm\}$, with $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$, the equation $p_l = V_m$, where p_l and V_m are defined by (22) and (23), has a solution (l, m) with $m \geq 1$ then, for $k \geq 1000$, we have*

$$m < 67578.15(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3 + 2\nu) \log \alpha + 1351.57. \quad (43)$$

If the Diophantine quadruple $\{2, b, c, \frac{x^2-1}{2}\}$, where $c = c_\nu^\pm$ for $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $x = p_l = V_m$, is not regular, then by Lemma 4, $\Delta \neq 0$. Therefore, we assume that $|\Delta| \geq 1$. If $k \geq 1000$, by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we get

$$0.69|\Delta|\sqrt{b} \log \alpha < 67578.15(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3 + 2\nu) \log \alpha + 1351.57.$$

From that, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{b} &< \frac{67578.15(|\Delta + \lambda| + 3 + 2\nu)}{0.69|\Delta|} + \frac{1351.57}{0.69|\Delta| \log \alpha} \\ &< 97939.36(2\nu + 5) + 257.71 \\ &< 1077590.56. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting (6) into the last inequality, we obtain

$$k \leq 761970.$$

We now finish the proof of Theorem 1 using the Baker-Daveport reduction method, which is standard method in solving such problems for years. We use its version from [8]. We get the first bound $m < 1.33 \cdot 10^{18}$ using the same method as it was used in [7, Section 8]. Only this time we have the exact values for fundamental solutions. In at most two steps of reduction in all cases we get $m \leq 3$. By Fujita [9, Lemma 8], if the equation (19) has a solution which leads to an irregular Diophantine quadruple, then $m, n \geq 3$ and $(m, n) \neq 3$. Hence, if $m = 3$ then $n \geq 4$. By Dujella [7, Lemma 3], for $m = 3$ it holds $n \leq 4$. Therefore, $n = 4$, which is not possible since m and n have the same parity. That finishes the proof of Theorem 1 since for $m \leq 2$, by Remark 1, we get only the extensions to a regular quadruple (or $d = 0$).

Acknowledgement: The authors were supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project no. IP-2018-01-1313.

References

- [1] N. Adžaga, A. Dujella, D. Kreso and P. Tadić, *Triples which are $D(n)$ -sets for several n 's*, J. Number Theory **184** (2018), 330–341.
- [2] J. Arkin, V. E. Hoggatt and E. G. Strauss, *On Eulers solution of a problem of Diophantus*, Fibonacci Quart. **17** (1979), 333–339.
- [3] M. Cipu, A. Filipin and Y. Fujita, *Bounds for Diophantine quintuples II*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **86** (2016), 59–78.
- [4] M. Cipu, Y. Fujita and T. Miyazaki, *On the number of extensions of a Diophantine triple*, Int. J. Number Theory **14** (2018), 899–917.
- [5] A. Dujella, *An absolute bound for the size of Diophantine m -tuples*, J. Number Theory **89** (2001), 126–150.
- [6] A. Dujella, *Diophantine m -tuples*, <http://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/~duje/dtuples.html>
- [7] A. Dujella, *There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **566** (2004), 183–214.
- [8] A. Dujella and A. Pethő, *A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), **49** (1998), 291–306.
- [9] Y. Fujita, *Any Diophantine quintuple contains a regular Diophantine quadruple*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 1678–1697.
- [10] P. Gibbs, *A generalised Stern-Brocot tree from regular Diophantine quadruples*, XXX Mathematics Archive math.NT/9903035.
- [11] B. He, A. Pinter, A. Togbé and S. Yang, *Another generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport*, J. Number Theory **182** (2018), 325–343.
- [12] B. He, A. Togbé and V. Ziegler, *There is no Diophantine quintuple*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), 6665–6709.
- [13] M. Laurent, *Linear forms in two logarithms and interpolation determinants II*, Acta Arith. **133** (4) (2008), 325–348.
- [14] T. Nagell, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Almqvist, Stockholm; Wiley, New York, 1951.

Nikola Adžaga,
Faculty of Civil Engineering,
University of Zagreb,
Fra Andrije Kačića-Miošića 26, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
Email: nadzaga@grad.hr

Alan Filipin,
Faculty of Civil Engineering,
University of Zagreb,
Fra Andrije Kačića-Miošića 26, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
Email: filipin@grad.hr

Ana Jursić,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Rijeka,
Radmile Matejčić 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Email: ajurasic@math.uniri.hr